22

23

24

25

26

27

28

E-filed 12/12/11 ** 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 11 12 IN RE DEMAS WAI YAN, No. C 11-2211 RS 13 Bankruptcy No. 04-33526 TEC Debtor, 14 Adversary Proceeding No. 10-03149 TEC 15 **DEMAS YAN ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL 16 Appellant and Defendant, 17 18 CRYSTAL LEI., 19 Appellee and Plaintiff. 20 21

Appellant Demas Yan purports to appeal from an order docketed in Adversary Proceeding No. 10-03149 TEC on February 23, 2011, denying his motion to dismiss the adversary complaint of Appellee Crystal Lei, and from an order docketed on April 22, 2011, denying his motion for reconsideration of the prior order. The denial of a motion to dismiss is an interlocutory order, and as such, is not appealable as of right, absent circumstances not present here. See Leisure Dev. Inc. v. Burke, 95 B.R. 716, 717 (9th Cir. BAP 1989) (citing John E. Burns Drilling Co. v. Central Bank of Denver, 739 F.2d 1489 (10th Cir. 1984)). While the Court has discretion to treat a notice of appeal

Case 3:11-cv-02211-RS Document 11 Filed 12/12/11 Page 2 of 2

as a motion for leave to appeal, see Leisure Dev., 95 B.R. at 717, nothing in the circumstances here warrants doing so. Accordingly, the appeal dismissed. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/12/11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE